KEN KENTUCKY EDUCATION NETWORK

KENTUCKY EDUCATION CABINET

Capital Plaza Tower, 3rd Floor, 500 Mero Street, Frankfort, KY 40601
Voice: 502.564.6949; Fax: 502.564.9504

http://ken.ky.gov
EC-KEN-001
(Rev. 7/11/06)

Work Group: Applications Subcommittee

Date	4/4/2007	Time	1:30 – 3:00 P.M.
Facilitator	Miko Pattie	Scribe	Miko Pattie
Location	#914 - Plaza Tower	Call-In #	

Agency / Attendees / Role

AIKCU	Steve Dooley	Subcommittee Member
CPE	Miko Pattie	Subcommittee Chair
CPE	Charles McGrew	Subcommittee Member
EPSB	Valerie Armstrong	Subcommittee Member
JCPS	Bo Lowrey	Subcommittee Member
KCTCS	Sandy Cook	Subcommittee Member
KDE	Lee Muncy	Subcommittee Member
KSU	Terry Magel	Subcommittee Member
UK	Rex Stidham	Subcommittee Member
Workforce	Tami Dennis	Subcommittee Member
Workforce	Liz Stafford	Subcommittee Member

Agenda/Decisions

Item:	Review Subcommittee's Prioritized Application Matrix	Decision(s)
1	The group reviewed the matrix that results from the application of our guidelines – 5 bundles that have been categorized by the type and the number of guidelines applicable. We asked ourselves if this is a good system to come up with our matrix for our work. Liz stated that this appears to work because it pulls the basic applications to the top bundles while bells and whistles are in the middle or bottom bundles. She further stated that this matrix needs to stay fluid as technology changes fast. Heather is concerned about the placement of Internet2 applications in low priority bundles because it is the signature KEN application. Miko mentioned that the I2 videoconferencing will be included in the IP-based videoconferencing system, the number 1 bundle. Heather then agreed on the	The Application Matrix for the Subcommittee is final pending on the ITC's approval.

	Matrix being finalized.	
Item:	**Identify User Groups for 2008-2010 Applications**	**Decision(s)**
2	The group discussed user groups that need to take on the 1st and 2nd bundles so we can proceed to make budget requests for these applications for 2008-2010 biennium budget. We need to identify these groups as soon as possible based on our timeline.	
Item	**Processes for Application Work Groups**	
3	The group discussed what we need to proceed after identifying user groups for these applications. A template needs to be constructed so we can get the kind of information we need to go forward. This template will include the review of where we are, vision, and recommendations on policies, processes and budget. Miko will draft this and send to the list for review.	Miko will draft template for application work groups and send to the list.

Next Meeting	Agenda Items Suggested and/or Moved Forward
4/18/07	**Items:**
	1. Review Subcommittee's application matrix 2. Identify user groups for 2008-2010 applications 3. Review template for application's group work

KPID = Key Point(s) Influencing Decision *(e.g. timing, funding, access, security issues, MoU or other signatory approval needed, etc.)* will be noted as and if they apply to individual decisions.